



Present:

H. Goutte (dir), G. Georgiev, E. Clément, M. Labiche, S. Courtin, L. Gaudefroy, O. Kamalou

Excused:

L. Cortina, E. De Filippo, I. Stefan, S. Guillous, B. Sulignano,



The GUB met via videoconferencing and discussed on the realization of an experiment at GANIL from an external physicist point of view regarding to the new procedures. The different steps from the PAC meeting to the experiment it-self were discussed.

It appeared rapidly that the LISE beam line focused our attention. Due to the CLIM operation, specific procedures were introduced these last few years regarding to the beam dump. This requires more iteration in the preparation of the proposal between the spokesperson and the local physicist crew. If needed, the GANIL-STP staff, and in particular O. Kamalou, has to be contacted well in advance before submission to prepare the proposal regarding the new procedures. **In this framework, the GUB indicated that the Technical Advisory Committee is scheduled to close to the Program Advisory Committee.** Any modifications cannot be easily done in a decent time.

The upgrade of LISE in order to accept the available beam intensity from the machine in the CLIM mode was discussed. **The GUB cannot interfere in the technical priorities of GANIL but support such an upgrade to fully exploit the facility in its projectile-fragmentation part.**

The delay between the PAC and the schedule of the experiment was judged way too long. Some physics cases have been covered in other facilities during this period. **The GUB judged that the schedule in terms of campaign might be very suitable.** It has many positive issues in the schedule of the facility and in the optimization of the physicist and technical human resources. **The GUB underlined that it might also stimulate long term visit of external physicist.** This might help in the local support during experiment within a campaign.



Feedbacks from the « Coordinateur de semaine » were presented. The detailed reporting in the document is very useful for the local technical support. As an example, needed time for tuning are now clearly evaluated for each experimental cave and is taken into account for the 2012 schedule. The template will be adjusted for specific issues.

The documents sent from the different GANIL departments to the spokesperson few weeks prior to the experiment will be grouped and completed. *The GUB underlined the fact that the Scientific Coordinators of the experimental halls should be involved in the preparation of the documents.*



H. Goutte mentioned that the guesthouse is currently under refurbishment. The east wing will be treated this year and the west wing later on.

H. Goutte mentioned the future drafting of a document “GANIL prospective” for years to come. The role of the GUB in this endeavor will be defined by the management of GANIL.

*The GUB suggested that GANIL should edit a newsletter addressed to the community on the progress of the SPIRAL2 construction*

H. Goutte asks for a follow-up of the suggestions made by the GUB these recent years. E. Clément will list at the end of the minutes the last GUB suggestions and their accomplishment.

Minutes by E.Clément

----- GUB suggestions and their results since 2009 -----

Meeting of the 2<sup>nd</sup> of February 2009:

“The previous BUG proposed an “end experiment evaluation” to the spokespersons. This action was very fruitful and has to be followed.” → **It became the Coordinateur de semaine template.**

“The question of the electronic pool of GANIL was underlined” → **The electronic pool re-organization was presented in the December 2009 GUB meeting without any news in 2010 & 2011.**

Meeting of the 30<sup>nd</sup> of June 2009:

“The GUB sees a great interest in this new function (Coordinateur de semaine) and proposed help in asking for an e-logbook to merge all the information coming from the different actors of an experiment. » “The GUB proposed to add the question of opening of an e-logbook to the agenda of the next meeting. » → **No news from the e-logbook since 2010**

“The GUB stressed the long waiting time before the approval of the experiment by the PAC and its scheduling (average 2 years). The GUB proposed to inform the users in the call for Proposal of the number of UT that will be allocated by the PAC and the backlog on each beam line.” → **Was discussed once again during the last meeting**

“The GUB has underlined the problem of electronic module availability and the electronic pool. The demand for the 32 channels VXI ADC modules is increasing considerably. The GUB is wondering about the maintenance and the future of the “universal” or “multi-purpose” electronics at GANIL. What will be the next generation? » → **A GANIL project called REA (Renovation de l’électronique d’Acquisition) was started**

Meeting of the 10<sup>th</sup> of March 2010:

“The GUB expresses its concern on the impact on the success of the future experiments with less technician per experimental area.” → **This was never discussed again.**